## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

**COPY MAILED** 

NOV 2 7 2007

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

In re Application of

Huub Ehlhardt Application No. 10/538,623

Filed: June 10, 2005

Attorney Docket No. NL 021334

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 22, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

A review of the record discloses that this application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action, mailed July 11, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on February 7, 2007. On March 21, 2007 a petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was filed; however, the petition was dismissed in a decision mailed August 8, 2007. In response, on August 22, 2007, the present petition was filed.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee of \$790; (2) the petition fee of \$1,500; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay<sup>1</sup>.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1761 for processing of the RCE and for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the amendment submitted in accordance with 37 CFR 1.114.

It is noted that the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. While a change of address accompanied the present petition, it cannot be accepted since it is not signed

<sup>37</sup> CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is not made by an attorney of record, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off, Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

by a registered patent attorney or patent agent of record in this case. A proper change of address should be filed in this case in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address noted on the petition. However, until otherwise instructed, all future correspondence regarding this application will be mailed solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Inquiries relating to further prosecution should be directed to the Technology Center.

Sherry D. Brinkley Petitions Examiner Office of Petitions

cc: ADAM L. STROUD

PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS** 

370 WEST TRIMBLE ROAD MS 91-MG

SAN JOSE, CA 95131